arXiv:1302.6001v1 [math.PR] 25 Feb 2013

Conditional G-expectation in $\mathbb{L}^p$ and Related Itô's Calculus

Y. FAN

School of Science, North China University of Technology, China

In this paper, we define a dynamically consistent conditional G-expectation in space $\mathbb{L}^p$, and give the related stochastic calculus of Itô's type, especially get Itô's formula for a general $C^{1,2}$-function.

Keywords conditional G-expectation, Itô's integral, stopping times, Itô's formula

AMS Subject Classification 60H05; 60J65.

1 Introduction

The notion of G-expectation, which is a typical nonlinear expectation, was proposed by Peng [5], [6], and [7]. It can be regarded as a nonlinear generalization of Wiener probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, where $\Omega = C([0,\infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, and P is a Wiener probability measure defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$. On the same canonical space Ω, G-expectation is a sublinear expectation, such that the same canonical process $B_t(\omega) := \omega_t, t \geq 0$ is a G-Brownian motion, i.e., it is a continuous process with stable and independent increments. One important feature of G-expectation is its time consistency. To be precise, let ξ be a random variable and $Y_t := \mathbb{E}_t^G[\xi]$ be the conditional G-expectation , then one has $\mathbb{E}_s^G[\xi] = \mathbb{E}_s^G[\mathbb{E}_t^G[\xi]]$ for any $s < t$. For this reason, the conditional G-expectation is called a G-martingale, or a martingale under G-expectation.

A well-known and fundamentally important fact in probability theory is that the linear space L_P^1 coincides with the $E_P[|\cdot|]$-norm completion of the space of bounded and $\mathcal{F}$-measurable functions $B_b(\Omega)$, or bounded and continuous functions $C_b(\Omega)$, or even smaller one, the space $Lip_{b.cyl}(\Omega) \subset C_b(\Omega)$ of bounded and Lipschitz cylinder functions. While in the theory of G-expectation, Denis, et al.[1] proved that the $\mathbb{E}[|\cdot|]$-norm completion of $Lip_{b.cyl}(\Omega)$ and $C_b(\Omega)$ are the same space $L_G^1(\Omega)$, the random variables $X = X(\omega)$ which are quasi-continuous with respect to the natural Choquet capacity $c(A) := \mathbb{E}^G[\mathbf{I}_A]$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, but they are strict subspace of the $\mathbb{E}[|\cdot|]$-norm completion of $B_b(\Omega)$. Moreover the latter one is, again, a strict subspace of $\mathbb{L}^1$, the space of all $\mathcal{F}$-measurable random variables X such that $\mathbb{E}[|X|] < \infty$.

This research was supported by Beijing Natural Science Foundation Grant No. 1112009.

Address correspondence to Y. Fan, School of Science, North China University of Technology, Beijing 100144, China; Email: fanyl@ncut.edu.cn

In Peng [5], [6], and [7], G-expectation and the related Itô's calculus are mainly based on space $L_G^1(\Omega)$. Then Li and Peng [4] extend the Itô's integral to space without the quasi-continuity, obtain Itô's integral on stopping time interval, and get Itô's formula for a general $C^{1,2}$-function, which generalizes the previous results of Peng [5], [6], and [7] and its improved version of Gao [2] and Zhang et al. [8].

But in Li and Peng [4], the conditional G-expectation has not been defined, so whether the martingale properties still hold for the stochastic integral $(\int_0^t \eta_s dB_s)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ and the conditional G-expectation of random variables without quasi-continuous condition is open.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give the definition of conditional G-expectation of random variables in space $\mathbb{L}^1$. In section 3, we define the related Itô's integral in space $\mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$. In section 4, we prove the Itô's formula for general $C^{1,2}$ function.

2 Conditional G-expectation in $\mathbb{L}^p$

2.1 G-Brownian motion and G-expectation

We first present some preliminaries in the theory of G-expectation and the related space of random variables. More relevant details can be found in Peng [5], [6], [7].

Let Ω be a given set and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a linear space of real functions defined on Ω such that $c \in \mathcal{H}$ for each constant c and $|X| \in \mathcal{H}$ if $X \in \mathcal{H}$. A sublinear expectation $\mathbb{E}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is a functional $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties: for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$,

(a) Monotonicity: If $X \geq Y$ then $\mathbb{E}[X] \geq \mathbb{E}[Y]$.

(b) Constant preserving: $\mathbb{E}[c] = c$.

(c) Sub-additivity: $\mathbb{E}[X + Y] \leq \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$.

(d) Positive homogeneity: $\mathbb{E}[\lambda X] = \lambda\mathbb{E}[X], \forall\lambda \geq 0$.

The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ is called a sublinear expectation space. In the literature, for technical convenience, $\mathcal{H}$ is taken as the space satisfying that if $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \mathcal{H}$ then $\varphi(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathcal{H}$ for each $\varphi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the linear space of (local Lipschitz) functions φ satisfying

$$| \varphi(x) - \varphi(y) |\leq C(1 + |x|^m + |y|^m) \mid x - y \mid, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for some $C > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on φ. The linear space $C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be replaced by $\mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), C_b(\mathbb{R}^n), C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^n), C_{unif}(\mathbb{R}^n), C_{b,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this case $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is called an n-dimensional random vector, denoted by $X \in \mathcal{H}^n$.

DEFINITION 2.1. *In a nonlinear expectation space* $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$*, a random vector* $Y \in \mathcal{H}^n$ *is said to be independent from another random vector* $X \in \mathcal{H}^n$ *under* $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ *if for each test function* $\varphi \in C_{l.L_{ip}}(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ *we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X,Y)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\varphi(x,Y)]_{x=X}].$$

Let $\Omega = C_0^d(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be the space of all $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued continuous paths $(\omega_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$, with $\omega_0 = 0$, equipped with the distance

$$\rho(\omega^1, \omega^2) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i}[(\max_{t\in[0,i]} |\omega_t^1 - \omega_t^2|) \wedge 1].$$

For each fixed $T \in [0, \infty)$, we set $\Omega_T := \{\omega_{\cdot\wedge T} : \omega \in \Omega\}$.

Let

$$L_{ip}(\Omega_T) := \{\phi(B_{t_1\wedge T}, \cdots, B_{t_n\wedge T}) : n \in \mathbb{N}, t_1, \cdots, t_n \in [0,\infty), \phi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d\times n})\}$$

with $B_t = \omega_t, t \in [0,\infty)$ for $\omega \in \Omega$, and

$$L_{ip}(\Omega) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{ip}(\Omega_n).$$

Let $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of d-dimensional random vectors on a sublinear expectation space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{E})$ such that ξ_i is G-normal distributed and ξ_{i+1} is independent from $(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i)$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots$. For each $X \in L_{ip}(\Omega)$ with

$$X = \phi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \cdots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}}),$$

some $\phi \in C_{l,Lip}(R^{d\times n})$ and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < \infty$, define G-expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$ as

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\phi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \cdots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})] := \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\phi(\sqrt{t_1 - t_0}\xi_1, \cdots, \sqrt{t_n - t_{n-1}}\xi_n)].$$

And the related conditional G-expectation of

$$X = \phi(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \cdots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})$$

under Ω_{t_j} is defined by

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\Omega_{t_j}] := \psi(B_{t_1}, \cdots, B_{t_j} - B_{t_{j-1}}),$$

where

$$\psi(x_1, \cdots, x_j) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\phi(x_1, \cdots, x_j, \sqrt{t_{j+1} - t_j}\xi_{j+1}, \cdots, \sqrt{t_n - t_{n-1}}\xi_n)].$$

$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$ consistently defines a sublinear expectation on $L_{ip}(\Omega)$ and $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a G-Brownian motion.

The sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]: L_{ip}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined through the above procedure is called a G-expectation. The corresponding canonical process $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on the sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, L_{ip}(\Omega), \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a G-Brownian motion.

Let $L_G^p(\Omega_T), p \geq 1$, denotes the completion of

$$L_{ip}(\Omega_T) := \{\varphi(B_{t_1\wedge T}, \cdots, B_{t_n\wedge T}) : n \in \mathbb{N}, t_1, \cdots, t_n \in [0, \infty), \varphi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d\times n})\}$$

under the norm $\| X \|_p := (\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}}$. And set $L_{ip}(\Omega) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{ip}(\Omega_n)$. The definition of G-expectation and conditional G-expectation can be extended to space $L_G^p(\Omega)$.

2.2 Conditional G-expectation in $\mathbb{L}^p$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the collection of all probability measures on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$, and

$L^0(\Omega)$: the space of all $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$-measurable real functions;

$L^0(\Omega_t)$: the space of all $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_t)$-measurable real functions;

$B_b(\Omega)$: all bounded elements in $L^0(\Omega)$; $B_b(\Omega_t)$: all bounded elements in $L^0(\Omega_t)$;

$C_b(\Omega)$: all bounded and continuous elements in $L^0(\Omega)$; $C_b(\Omega_t)$: all bounded and continuous elements in $L^0(\Omega_t)$.

THEOREM 2.2. *(Denis, et al.[1]) There exists a weakly compact subset $\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, such that*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\xi] = \sup_{P\in\mathscr{P}} E_P[\xi], \forall \xi \in L_G^1(\Omega).$$

$\mathscr{P}$ is called a set that represents $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$.

The upper expectation of probability measure set $\mathscr{P}$ is defined in Huber and Strassen [3]: For each $X \in L^0(\Omega)$ such that $E_P[X]$ exists for each $P \in \mathscr{P}$, the upper expectation about $\mathscr{P}$ is defined as

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathscr{P}}[X] := \sup_{P\in\mathscr{P}} E_P[X].$$

Denote

$$c(A) = \sup_{P\in\mathscr{P}} P(A), A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega).$$

Then $c(\cdot)$ is a Choquet capacity. A set A is called polar if $c(A) = 0$, and a property holds "quasi-surely"(q.s.) if it holds outside a polar set. Let

$$\mathcal{L}^p := \{X \in L^0(\Omega) : \mathbb{E}[|X|^p] < \infty\}, 0 < p < \infty,$$

$$\mathcal{L}^\infty := \{X \in L^0(\Omega) : \exists \text{ a constant } M, s.t.|X| \leq M, q.s.\},$$

$$\mathcal{N} := \{X \in L^0(\Omega) : X = 0, c - q.s.\},$$

$$\mathbb{L}^p := \mathcal{L}^p/\mathcal{N}.$$

Then for $0 < p < 1$, $\mathbb{L}^p$ is a complete metric space under the distance $d(X, Y) := \mathbb{E}[| X-Y |^p]$, and for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\mathbb{L}^p$ is a Banach space under the norm $\| X \|_p := (\mathbb{E}[| X |^p])^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Similarly, we can define space $\mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_t)$. And we denote by $\mathbb{L}^p_b(\Omega_t)$ the completion of $B_b(\Omega_t)$ and $\mathbb{L}^p_c(\Omega_t)$ the completion of $C_b(\Omega_t)$ under norm $\| \cdot \|_p = \mathbb{E}[| \cdot |^p]$, $0 \leq t \leq \infty$.

Denis, et al.[1] proved that $\mathbb{L}^1_c(\Omega_t) = L^1_G(\Omega_t) \subset \mathbb{L}^1_b(\Omega_t)$.

$\mathbb{L}^\infty := \mathcal{L}^\infty / \mathcal{N}$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$\| X \|_\infty := \inf\{M \geq 0 : | X | \leq M, q.s.\}.$$

LEMMA 2.3. *If for any* $p > 0$, $X \in \mathbb{L}^p$, *then* $c(|X| = \infty) = 0$, *that is* $|X| < \infty, q.s.$

Proof. Otherwise, $c(|X| = \infty) > 0$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|^p] \geq \mathbb{E}[|X|^p \mathbf{I}_{\{|X|=\infty\}}] = \infty,$$

which means $X \notin \mathbb{L}^p$.

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,t}) := & \{\eta = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A^j} \eta^j, \text{ where } \{A^j\}_{j=1}^N \text{ is an } \mathcal{F}_s - \text{partition of } \Omega, \\ & \text{and } \eta^j, j = 1, \ldots, N \text{ are } \mathcal{F}^s_t - \text{measurable } \}. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 2.4. *We define a mapping,* $\mathbb{E}_s[\cdot] : \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,t}) \to \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,s})$ *which has the following properties,*

(i) if $\xi \geq \eta$, *then* $\mathbb{E}_s[\xi] \geq \mathbb{E}_s[\eta]$.

(ii) $\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] = \eta$, *if* $\eta \in \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,s})$.

(iii) $\mathbb{E}_s[\xi] - \mathbb{E}_s[\eta] \leq \mathbb{E}_s[\xi - \eta]$.

(iv) $\mathbb{E}_s[\eta\xi] = \eta^+ \mathbb{E}_s[\xi] + \eta^- \mathbb{E}_s[-\xi]$,*if* $\eta \in \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,s})$.

(v) $\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] = \mathbb{E}[\eta]$, *if* η *is independent from* $\mathcal{F}_s$.

Then for any $\eta \in \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,t})$, *we have*

$$\mathbf{I}_{A^j} \mathbb{E}_s[\eta] = \mathbb{E}_s[\mathbf{I}_{A^j} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A^j} \eta^j] = \mathbb{E}_s[\mathbf{I}_{A^j} \eta^j] = \mathbf{I}_{A^j} \mathbb{E}[\eta^j].$$

Summarizing over j, *we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A^j} \mathbb{E}[\eta^j].$$

So we can define the conditional G-expectation of η *as*

$$\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] := \mathbb{E}[\eta \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{I}_{A^j} \mathbb{E}[\eta^j].$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,r,t}) :=& \{\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \eta_{s,r}^j \eta_{r,t}^j, \text{ where } \{A_s^j\}_{j=1}^N \text{ is an } \mathcal{F}_s - \text{partition of } \Omega, \\ & \eta_{s,r}^j, j = 1, \ldots, N \text{ are } \mathcal{F}_r^s - \text{measurable }, \eta_{r,t}^j, j = 1, \ldots, N \text{ are } \mathcal{F}_t^r - \text{measurable } \}.\end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.5. $\mathbb{E}_s[\cdot] : \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,r,t}) \to \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,s})$ *is dynamically consistent.*

Proof. For any random variable $\eta \in \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,r,t})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \mathbb{E}[\eta_{s,r}^j \eta_{r,t}^j] = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[x\eta_{r,t}^j]_{x=\eta_{s,r}^j}] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \mathbb{E}[\eta_{s,r}^j] \mathbb{E}[\eta_{r,t}^j].\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \mathbb{E}_r[\eta] = \mathbb{E}_r[\mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \eta_{s,r}^j \eta_{r,t}^j] = \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \eta_{s,r}^j \mathbb{E}[\eta_{r,t}^j],$$

Summarizing over j, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_r[\eta] = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \eta_{s,r}^j \mathbb{E}[\eta_{r,t}^j].$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}_s[\mathbb{E}_r[\eta]] = \mathbb{E}_s[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \eta_{s,r}^j \mathbb{E}[\eta_{r,t}^j]] = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{I}_{A_s^j} \mathbb{E}[\eta_{s,r}^j] \mathbb{E}[\eta_{r,t}^j].$$

So $\mathbb{E}_s[\cdot]$ is dynamically consistent.

By the proof of lemma 43 of Denis, et al.[1], "the collection of processes $(\theta_t)_{t \in [s,T]}$ with $\{\theta_t = \sum I_{A_j} \theta_t^j : \{A_j\}_{j=1}^N$ is an $\mathcal{F}_s$ partition of Ω, θ^j is $(\mathbb{F}^s)$-adapted$\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}_{s,T}^{\Theta}$". So for any indicator function $\mathbf{I}_A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, there exist sequence $\zeta^i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_1^i} \mathbf{I}_{A_1^{ij}} \eta^{ij}, i = 1, \ldots,$ where for every i, $\{A_1^{ij}\}_{j=1}^{N_1^i}$ is an $\mathcal{F}_s$-partition of Ω and η^{ij} are $\mathcal{F}_t^s$-measuable, such that $\zeta^i \to \mathbf{I}_A, i \to \infty$.

While for any $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable random variable η, there exists simple function sequence $\xi^i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_2^i} \mathbf{I}_{A^{ij}} \eta^{ij}, i = 1, 2, \ldots$ where $\{A^{ij}\}_{j=1}^{N_2^i}$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t$-partition of Ω, and η^{ij} are constants, such that $\xi^i \to \eta, i \to \infty$.

So $\mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,t})$ is dense in $L^0(\Omega_t)$, and $\mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,r,t})$ is dense in $\mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,t})$ as well as in $L^0(\Omega_t)$.

Hence for any $\eta \in \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_t)$, there exists $\eta^i \in \mathbb{L}_S(\Omega_{s,t})$ such that $\eta^i \to \eta, i \to \infty$. We define the conditional G-expectation of η as

$$\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] = \lim_{i \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_s[\eta^i]. \tag{2.1}$$

The conditional G-expectation $\mathbb{E}_s[\cdot] : \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_t) \to \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_s)$ defined in (2.1) has the following properties.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *For each* $X, Y \in \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega)$,

(i) if $X \geq Y$, *then* $\mathbb{E}_s[X] \geq \mathbb{E}_s[Y]$.

(ii) $\mathbb{E}_s[\eta] = \eta$, *if* $\eta \in \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_s)$.

(iii) $\mathbb{E}_s[X] - \mathbb{E}_s[Y] \leq \mathbb{E}_s[X - Y]$.

(iv) $\mathbb{E}_s[\eta X] = \eta^+\mathbb{E}_s[X] + \eta^-\mathbb{E}_s[-X]$ *for each bounded* $\eta \in \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_s)$.

(v) $\mathbb{E}_s[\mathbb{E}_t[X]] = \mathbb{E}_{t\wedge s}[X]$, *in particular,* $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}_s[X]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$.

3 Itô's integral in $\mathbb{M}^2(0, T)$

For $T \in R^+$, a partition π_T of $[0, T]$ is a finite ordered subset $\pi_T = \{t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_N\}$ such that $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_N = T$. Let $\mu(\pi_T) := \max\{|t_{i+1} - t_i| : i = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1\}$, and use $\pi_T^N = \{t_0^N, t_1^N, \ldots, t_N^N\}$ to denote a sequence of partitions of $[0, T]$ such that $\lim_{N\to\infty} \mu(\pi_T^N) = 0$.

Let $p \geq 1$ be fixed. We consider the following type of simple processes: for a given partition $\pi_T = \{t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_N\}$ of $[0, T]$ we set

$$\eta_t(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k(\omega)\mathbf{I}_{[t_k,t_{k+1})}(t),$$

where $\xi_k \in \mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_{t_k})$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1$ are given. The collection of these processes is denoted by $\mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$.

DEFINITION 3.1. *For each* $p \geq 1$, *we denote by* $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$ *the completion of* $\mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$ *under the norm*

$$\| \eta \|_{\mathbb{M}^p(0,T)} := \left\{ \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T |\eta_t|^p dt] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T) \supset \mathbb{M}^q(0, T)$ for $1 \leq p \leq q$.

DEFINITION 3.2. *For an* $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$ *with* $\eta_t(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k(\omega)\mathbf{I}_{[t_k,t_{k+1})}(t)$, *the related Bochner integral is*

$$\int_0^T \eta_t(\omega)dt := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k(\omega)(t_{k+1} - t_k).$$

For each $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$, set

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_T[\eta] := \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T \eta_t(\omega)dt].$$

$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_T : \mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T) \to \mathbb{R}$ forms a sublinear expectation, so under the natural norm $\| \eta \|_{\mathbb{M}^p(0,T)}$, the Bochner integral can be extended from $\mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$ to $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$

We now introduce the definition of Itô's integral. For simplicity, we first introduce Itô's integral with respect to 1-dimensional G-Brownian motion.

Let $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a 1-dimensional G-Brownian motion with $G(\alpha)=\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}^2\alpha^+-\underline{\sigma}^2\alpha^-)$, where $0\leq\underline{\sigma}\leq\bar{\sigma}<\infty$.

DEFINITION 3.3. *For each $\eta\in\mathbb{M}^{2,0}(0,T)$ with $\eta_t(\omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\xi_k(\omega)\mathbf{I}_{[t_k,t_{k+1})}(t)$, define*

$$I(\eta)=\int_0^T\eta_t dB_t:=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\xi_k(B_{t_{k+1}}-B_{t_k}).$$

LEMMA 3.4. *The mapping $I:\mathbb{M}^{2,0}(0,T)\to\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_T)$ is a continuous linear mapping and thus can be continuously extended to $I:\mathbb{M}^2(0,T)\to\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_T)$, and we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T\eta_t dB_t]=0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(\int_0^T\eta_t dB_t)^2]\leq\bar{\sigma}^2\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T\eta_t^2 dt]. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Notice that $B_{t_{i+1}}-B_{t_i}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$, so for $\xi_i\in\mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_{t_i})$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_i(B_{t_{i+1}}-B_{t_i})]=\mathbb{E}[-\xi_i(B_{t_{i+1}}-B_{t_i})]=0, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_i^2(B_{t_{i+1}}-B_{t_i})^2-\bar{\sigma}^2\xi_i^2(t_{i+1}-t_i)]=\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}_t[\xi_i^2(B_{t_{i+1}}-B_{t_i})^2-\bar{\sigma}^2\xi_i^2(t_{i+1}-t_i)]]=0. \tag{3.4}$$

Hence we get (3.1) and (3.2) by the same procedure as Peng [7].

DEFINITION 3.5. *We define, for a fixed $\eta\in\mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$, the stochastic integral*

$$\int_0^T\eta_t dB_t:=I(\eta).$$

It is clear (3.1) and (3.2) still holds for $\eta\in\mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$.

The Itô's integral has the following properties,

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let $\xi,\eta\in\mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$, and let $0\leq s\leq r\leq t\leq T$. Then we have*

$$(i)\int_s^t\eta_u dB_u=\int_s^r\eta_u dB_u+\int_r^t\eta_u dB_u, q.s.,$$

$$(ii)\int_s^t(\alpha\eta_u+\theta_u)dB_u=\alpha\int_s^t\eta_u dB_u+\int_s^t\theta_u dB_u,\ \text{if } \alpha\in\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_s),$$

$$(iii)\mathbb{E}[X+\int_s^T\eta_u dB_u\mid\Omega_s]=\mathbb{E}[X\mid\Omega_s],\ \text{for } X\in\mathbb{L}^1(\Omega).$$

For the multi-dimensional case. Let $G(\cdot):\mathbb{S}(d)\to\mathbb{R}$ be a given monotonic and sublinear function and let $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a d-dimensional G-Brownian motion. For each fixed $a\in\mathbb{R}^d$, we use $B_t^a:=\langle a,B_t\rangle$. Then $(B_t^a)_{t\geq 0}$ is a 1-dimensional G_a-Brownian motion with $G_a(\alpha)=\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2_{aa^T}\alpha^+-\sigma^2_{-aa^T}\alpha^-)$, where $\sigma^2_{aa^T}=2G(aa^T)$ and $\sigma^2_{-aa^T}=-2G(-aa^T)$. Similar to 1-dimensional case, we can define Itô's integral by

$$I(\eta):=\int_0^T\eta_t dB_t^a,\ \text{for } \eta\in\mathbb{M}^2(0,T).$$

and have (3.1), (3.2) and Proposition 3.6.

Let $(\langle B\rangle_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the quadratic variation process of 1-dimensional G-Brownian motion.

Define a mapping:

$$Q_{0,T}(\eta)=\int_0^T\eta_t d\langle B\rangle_t:=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\xi_j(\langle B\rangle_{t_{j+1}}-\langle B\rangle_{t_j}):\mathbb{M}^{1,0}(0,T)\to\mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_T).$$

We have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.7. *For each* $\eta\in\mathbb{M}^{1,0}(0,T)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|Q_{0,T}(\eta)|]\leq\bar{\sigma}^2\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T|\eta_t|dt].\tag{3.5}$$

Thus $Q_{0,T}:\mathbb{M}^{1,0}(0,T)\to\mathbb{L}^1(\Omega_T)$ *is a continuous linear mapping. Consequently,* $Q_{0,T}$ *can be uniquely extended to* $\mathbb{M}^1(0,T)$*, and we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[|\int_0^T\eta_t d\langle B\rangle_t|]\leq\bar{\sigma}^2\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T|\eta_t|dt],\forall\eta\in\mathbb{M}^1(0,T).\tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Notice that $\mathbb{E}[|\xi_j|(\langle B\rangle_{t_{j+1}}-\langle B\rangle_{t_j})-\bar{\sigma}^2|\xi_j|(t_{j+1}-t_j)]=0$. Then it is easy to check that (3.5) as well as (3.6)holds.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *For any* $\eta\in\mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$*, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[(\int_0^t\eta_s dB_s)^2]=\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T\eta_s^2 d\langle B\rangle_s].\tag{3.7}$$

Proof. For $\eta\in\mathbb{M}^{2,0}(0,T)$, it is easy to check that (3.7) holds. We can continuously extend the above equality to the case $\eta\in\mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$ and get (3.7).

Similar to Li and Peng(2011), we can prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.9. *For any* $\xi\in\mathbb{M}^1(0,T)$, $\eta\in\mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$*, and* $0\leq t\leq T$, $\int_0^t\xi_s ds$, $\int_0^t\eta_s dB_s$*, and* $\int_0^t\xi_s d\langle B\rangle_s$ *are well defined processes which are continuous in t quasi-surely.*

A stopping time τ with respect to filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ is a mapping $\tau : \Omega \to [0, T]$ such that for every t, $\{\omega : \tau(\omega) \le t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$.

LEMMA 3.10. *For each stopping time τ and $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, we have $\mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau)}, \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau)}\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$.*

Proof. For a given stopping time τ, let

$$\tau_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} t^n_k \mathbf{I}_{[t^n_{k-1}<\tau\le t^n_k)} + T\mathbf{I}_{[\tau>T]}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}(t) =& \mathbf{I}_{[\sum_{l=1}^n t^n_l \mathbf{I}_{[t^n_{l-1}<\tau\le t^n_l)}+T\mathbf{I}_{[\tau>T]},T)}(t) \\
=& \sum_{l=1}^{n} \mathbf{I}_{[t^n_l,T)}(t)\mathbf{I}_{[t^n_{l-1}<\tau\le t^n_l)} \\
=& \sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{k=l}^{n-1} \mathbf{I}_{[t^n_k,t^n_{k+1})}(t)\mathbf{I}_{[t^n_{l-1}<\tau\le t^n_l)} \\
=& \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbf{I}_{[t^n_{l-1}<\tau\le t^n_l)}\right)\mathbf{I}_{[t^n_k,t^n_{k+1})}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbf{I}_{[t^n_{l-1}<\tau\le t^n_l)} \in \mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_{t^n_k})$, we have $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)} \in \mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$.

For any $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, there exists a sequence of simple processes $\eta^i_t = \sum_k \xi^i_k \mathbf{I}_{[t^i_k,t^i_{k+1})}(t)$ with $\xi^i_k \in \mathbb{L}^p(\Omega_{t^i_k})$ such that $\eta^i \to \eta, i \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$. Obviously, $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}\eta^i \in \mathbb{M}^{p,0}(0, T)$.

It is easy to check that $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}\eta^i \to \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}\eta, i \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, which means that $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$.

Now we prove $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}\eta \to \mathbf{I}_{[\tau,T)}\eta$ in $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}(t)\eta_t - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau,T)}(t)\eta_t|^p dt\right] \\
\le& C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}(t)\eta_t - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}(t)\eta^i_t|^p dt\right] + C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}(t)\eta^i_t - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau,T)}(t)\eta^i_t|^p dt\right] \\
&+ C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau,T)}(t)\eta^i_t - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau,T)}(t)\eta_t|^p dt\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta^i \to \eta, i \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{M}^p(0, T)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists I such that when $i \ge I$, $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |\eta^i_t - \eta_t|^p dt\right] < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Hence for some fixed $i \ge I$, we have

$$C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}(t)\eta_t - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}(t)\eta^i_t|^p dt\right] < \frac{\epsilon}{3},$$

$$C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau,T)}(t)\eta^i_t - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau,T)}(t)\eta_t|^p dt\right] < \frac{\epsilon}{3},$$

and

$$C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}(t)\eta_t^i - \mathbf{I}_{[\tau,T)}(t)\eta_t^i|^p dt\right] \leq \sum_k |\xi_k^i|^p \mu(\pi_T^n) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \text{ for some fixed } i \geq I \text{ and n large enough }.$$

So $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,T)}\eta \to \mathbf{I}_{[\tau,T)}\eta$ in $\mathbb{M}^p(0,T)$, which means $\mathbf{I}_{[\tau,T)}\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0,T)$, and consequently $\mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_n)}\eta \to \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau)}\eta$ in $\mathbb{M}^p(0,T)$. As a special case, $\mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau)} \in \mathbb{M}^p(0,T)$.

By lemma 3.10, the integral $\int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau]}(s)dB_s$ and $\int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau]}(s)\eta_s dB_s$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$ is well defined.

LEMMA 3.11. *For each stopping time τ and $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0,T)$, we have*

$$\int_0^{t\wedge\tau} \eta_s dB_s = \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau)}\eta_s dB_s, q.s. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Let

$$\tau_n = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k^n \mathbf{I}_{[t_{k-1}^n < \tau \leq t_k^n)} + T\mathbf{I}_{[\tau > T]} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{I}_{A_n^{k-1}} t_k^n,$$

with $A_n^{k-1} = [t_{k-1}^n < \tau \leq t_k^n)$, and $A_n^n = [\tau > T]$.

For any $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^p(0,T)$, we have

$$\int_0^{\tau_n} \eta_s dB_s = \int_0^{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{I}_{A_n^{k-1}} t_k^n} \eta_s dB_s = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{I}_{A_n^{k-1}} \int_0^{t_k^n} \eta_s dB_s = \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{I}_{[0,t_k^n)}(s)\mathbf{I}_{A_n^{k-1}}\eta_s dB_s.$$

Thus we have

$$\int_0^{\tau_n} \eta_s dB_s = \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_n)}(s)\eta_s dB_s, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\int_{\tau_n}^{t\wedge\tau} \eta_s dB_s = \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[\tau_n,t\wedge\tau)}(s)\eta_s dB_s \to 0,$$

by the continuity of $\int_0^t \eta_s dB_s$. Hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^{\tau_n} \eta_s dB_s = \int_0^{t\wedge\tau} \eta_s dB_s - \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\tau_n}^{t\wedge\tau} \mathbf{I}_{[0,t\wedge\tau)}(s)\eta_s dB_s \to \int_0^{t\wedge\tau} \eta_s dB_s. \tag{3.10}$$

By the proof of lemma 3.8, $\mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_n)}\eta \to \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau)}\eta, n \to \infty$,in $\mathbb{M}^p(0,T)$, so we have

$$\int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_n)}(s)\eta_s dB_s \to \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau)}(s)\eta_s dB_s, \text{ in } \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t).$$

By Denis, Hu and Peng(2011) proposition 17, there exists a subsequence $\int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_{n_k}]}(s)\eta_s dB_s$ such that

$$\int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_{n_k})}(s)\eta_s dB_s \to \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau)}(s)\eta_s dB_s, q.s., \text{ as } k \to \infty, . \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.9), (3.10), and (3.11), (3.8) holds.

LEMMA 3.12. *For* $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(s,t)$ *with* $s < t$*, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_s[|\int_s^t \eta_u du|^2] \leq (t-s)\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t \eta_u^2 du], \tag{3.12}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_s[|\int_s^t \eta_u d\langle B\rangle_u|^2] \leq \bar{\sigma}^4(t-s)\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t \eta_u^2 du]. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. For each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, $\eta_u(\omega)$ is a measurable function on $[s,t]$. By lemma 2.3, $\int_s^t \eta_u^2 du < \infty, q.s.$. So for fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\int_s^t \eta_u^2(\omega)du < \infty$, we have

$$|\int_s^t \eta_u(\omega)du|^2 \leq (\int_s^t |\eta_u(\omega)|du)^2 \leq (t-s)\int_s^t |\eta_u(\omega)|^2 du. \tag{3.14}$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}_s[|\int_s^t \eta_u dt|^2] \leq (t-s)\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t |\eta_u|^2 dt]. \tag{3.15}$$

Now we prove (3.13). For $\eta_u^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta_{t_i}^n \mathbf{I}_{[t_i,t_{i+1}]}(t) \in \mathbb{M}^{2,0}(0,T)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_s[|\int_s^t \eta_u^n d\langle B\rangle_u|^2] \\
=&\mathbb{E}_s[|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta_{t_i}^n(\langle B\rangle_{t_{i+1}} - \langle B\rangle_{t_i})|^2] \\
=&\mathbb{E}_s[\sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |\eta_{t_i}^n\eta_{t_j}^n|(\langle B\rangle_{t_{i+1}} - \langle B\rangle_{t_i})(\langle B\rangle_{t_{j+1}} - \langle B\rangle_{t_j})] \\
\leq&\bar{\sigma}^4\mathbb{E}_s[\sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} |\eta_{t_i}^n\eta_{t_j}^n|(t_{i+1} - t_i)(t_{j+1} - t_j)] \\
=&\bar{\sigma}^4\mathbb{E}_s[(\int_s^t \eta_u^n dt)^2] \\
\leq&\bar{\sigma}^4(t-s)\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t |\eta_u^n|^2 dt].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Thus (3.13) holds for $\eta^n \in \mathbb{M}^{2,0}(0,T)$. We can continuously extend the above equality to the case $\eta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$ and get (3.13).

4 Itô's Formula

LEMMA 4.1. *Let* $\varphi \in C^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ *with* $\partial_t\varphi, \partial_{x^\nu}\varphi, \partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi \in C_{b,L_{ip}}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ *for* $\mu, \nu = 1, \ldots, n$*. Let* $s \in [0,T]$ *be fixed and* $X = (X^1, \ldots, X^n)^T$ *be an n-dimensional process on* $[s,T]$ *of the form*

$$X_t^\nu = X_s^\nu + \alpha^\nu(t-s) + \eta^{\nu ij}(\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_t - \langle B^i, B^j\rangle_s) + \beta^{\nu j}(B_t^j - B_s^j),$$

where for $\nu = 1, \ldots, n$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, d$, $\alpha^\nu, \eta^{\nu ij} \in \mathbb{L}^4(\Omega_s)$, $\beta^{\nu j} \in \mathbb{L}^8(\Omega_s)$ *and* $X_s = (X_s^1, \ldots, X_s^n)^T$ *is a given random vector in* $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_s)$. *Then for each* $t \geq s$, *we have, in* $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t, X_t) - \varphi(s, X_s) = &\int_s^t [\partial_t \varphi(u, X_u) + \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \alpha^\nu] du + \int_s^t \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \beta^{\nu j} dB_u^j \\ &+ \int_s^t [\partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \eta^{\nu ij} + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi(u, X_u) \beta^{\mu i} \beta^{\nu j}] d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u.\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Here we use the above repeated indices μ, ν, i *and* j *imply the summation.*

Proof. For each positive integer N, we set $\delta_N = (t - s)/N$ and take the partition

$$\pi^N_{[s,t]} = \{t_0^N, t_1^N, \ldots, t_N^N\} = \{s, s + \delta_N, \ldots, s + N\delta_N = t\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t, X_t) - \varphi(s, X_s) = &\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} [\varphi(t_{k+1}^N, X_{t_{k+1}^N}) - \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})] \\ = &\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \{\partial_t \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})(t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) + \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})(X^\nu_{t_{k+1}^N} - X^\nu_{t_k^N}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}[\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})(X^\mu_{t_{k+1}^N} - X^\mu_{t_k^N})(X^\nu_{t_{k+1}^N} - X^\nu_{t_k^N}) + \kappa_k^N]\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_k^N = &\partial^2_{tt} \varphi(t_k^N + \theta\delta_N, X_{t_k^N} + \theta(X^\nu_{t_{k+1}^N} - X^\nu_{t_k^N}))(t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N)^2 \\ &+ 2\partial^2_{tx^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N + \theta\delta_N, X_{t_k^N} + \theta(X^\nu_{t_{k+1}^N} - X^\nu_{t_k^N}))(t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N)(X^\nu_{t_{k+1}^N} - X^\nu_{t_k^N}) \\ &+ [\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N + \theta\delta_N, X_{t_k^N} + \theta(X^\nu_{t_{k+1}^N} - X^\nu_{t_k^N})) - \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})](X^\nu_{t_{k+1}^N} - X^\nu_{t_k^N})^2\end{aligned}$$

with $\theta \in [0, 1]$. We have, since $\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi \in C_{b,L_{ip}}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \kappa_k^N|^2] \leq CN[\delta_N^6 + \delta_N^3] \to 0,$$

where C is a constant independent of k.

The rest terms in the summation of the right side of (4.2) are $\xi_t^N + \zeta_t^N$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_t^N = &\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \{\partial_t \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})(t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) \\ &+ \partial_{x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})[\alpha^\nu(t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) + \eta^{\nu ij}(\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j \rangle_{t_k^N}) + \beta^{\nu j}(B^j_{t_{k+1}^N} - B^j_{t_k^N})] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu} \varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N}) \beta^{\mu i} \beta^{\nu j} (B^i_{t_{k+1}^N} - B^i_{t_k^N})(B^j_{t_{k+1}^N} - B^j_{t_k^N})\},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_t^N =&\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\{\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})[\alpha^\mu(t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) + \eta^{\mu ij}(\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j\rangle_{t_k^N})]\\ &\times[\alpha^\nu(t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) + \eta^{\nu ij}(\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j\rangle_{t_k^N})]\\ &+2[\alpha^\mu(t_{k+1}^N - t_k^N) + \eta^{\mu ij}(\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_{t_{k+1}^N} - \langle B^i, B^j\rangle_{t_k^N})]\beta^{\nu j}(B^j_{t_{k+1}^N} - B^j_{t_k^N})\}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we prove ξ_t^N converges to the right side of (4.1) and ζ_t^N converges to 0 in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t)$.

Firstly, we have the following estimates.

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t|\partial_u\varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_u\varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)|^2du]\\ =&\mathbb{E}_s[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\int_{t_k^N}^{t_{k+1}^N}|\partial_u\varphi(u, X_u) - \partial_u\varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})|^2]du]\\ \le&\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\int_{t_k^N}^{t_{k+1}^N}\mathbb{E}_s[|\partial_u\varphi(u, X_u) - \partial_u\varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})|^2]du]\\ \le&\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\int_{t_k^N}^{t_{k+1}^N}C_1\mathbb{E}_s[|u-t_k^N|^2 + |X_u - X_{t_k^N}|^2]du\\ \le&\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\int_{t_k^N}^{t_{k+1}^N}\mathbb{E}_s[(C_1 + C_2(\alpha^\nu)^2)|u-t_k^N|^2 + C_2(\eta^{\nu ij})^2|\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_u - \langle B^i, B^j\rangle_{t_k^N}|^2 + C_2(\beta^{\nu j})^2|B^j_u - B^j_{t_k^N}|^2]du\\ \le&(C_1 + C_2(\alpha^\nu)^2)(t-s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\eta^{\nu ij})^2(t-s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\beta^{\nu j})^2(t-s)\delta_N.\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t|\partial_{x^\mu}\varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{x^\mu}\varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)|^2du]\\ \le&(C_1 + C_2(\alpha^\nu)^2)(t-s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\eta^{\nu ij})^2(t-s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\beta^{\nu j})^2(t-s)\delta_N,\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t|\partial_{x^\mu}\varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{x^\mu}\varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)|^2d\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_u]\\ \le&(C_1 + C_2(\alpha^\nu)^2)(t-s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\eta^{\nu ij})^2(t-s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\beta^{\nu j})^2(t-s)\delta_N,\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t|\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(u, X_u) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(t_k^N, X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N, t_{k+1}^N)}(u)|^2d\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_u]\\ \le&(C_1 + C_2(\alpha^\nu)^2)(t-s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\eta^{\nu ij})^2(t-s)\delta_N^2 + C_2(\beta^{\nu j})^2(t-s)\delta_N.\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

Of course, (4.3)-(4.6) implies that $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{\cdot}\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(\cdot)$ converges to $\partial_{\cdot}\varphi(\cdot,X_{\cdot})$, $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{x^\mu}\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(\cdot)$ converges to $\partial_{x^\mu}\varphi(\cdot,X_{\cdot})$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(\cdot)$ converges to $\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(\cdot,X_{\cdot})$ in $\mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[|\int_s^t[\partial_u\varphi(u,X_u)+\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\alpha^\nu]du+\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\beta^{\nu j}dB_u^j\\
&\quad+[\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\eta^{n,\nu ij}+\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\beta^{\nu j}\beta^{\nu j}]d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u-\xi_t^N|^2]\\
\le&5\{\mathbb{E}[|\int_s^t(\partial_u\varphi(u,X_u)-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_u\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(u)du|^2]\\
&\quad+\mathbb{E}[|\int_s^t(\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(u))\alpha^\nu du|^2]\\
&\quad+\mathbb{E}[|\int_s^t(\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(u))\beta^{\nu j}dB_u^j|^2]\\
&\quad+\mathbb{E}[|\int_s^t(\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(u))\eta^{\nu ij}d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u|^2]\\
&\quad+\mathbb{E}[|\int_s^t\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(u))\beta^{\mu i}\beta^{\nu j}]d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u|^2]\}\\
\le&5(t-s)\{\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t(\partial_u\varphi(u,X_u)-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_u\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(u))^2du]]\\
&\quad+\mathbb{E}[(\alpha^\nu)^2\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t(\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(u))^2du]]\\
&\quad+K\mathbb{E}[(\beta^{\nu j})^2\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t(\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(u))^2du]]\\
&\quad+K\mathbb{E}[(\eta^{\nu ij})^2\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t|\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(u)|^2du]]\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}K\mathbb{E}[(\beta^{\mu j}\beta^{\nu j})^2\mathbb{E}_s[\int_s^t|\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)-\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})\mathbf{I}_{[t_k^N,t_{k+1}^N)}(u)|^2du]]\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.7}
$$

By estimates (4.3)-(4.6), and $\alpha^\nu,\eta^{\nu ij}\in\mathbb{L}^4(\Omega_s)$, $\beta^{\nu j}\in\mathbb{L}^8(\Omega_s)$ we can prove the right side of (4.7) converges to 0 as $N\to\infty$.

By the boundedness of $\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_{t_k^N}[(\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_{k+1}^N}-\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_k^N})^2(B^j_{t_{k+1}^N}-B^j_{t_k^N})^2]\\ =&\mathbb{E}[(\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_{k+1}^N}-\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_k^N})^2(B^j_{t_{k+1}^N}-B^j_{t_k^N})^2]\\ \le&\left\{\mathbb{E}[(\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_{k+1}^N}-\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_k^N})]^4\mathbb{E}[(B^j_{t_{k+1}^N}-B^j_{t_k^N})^4]\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[(\zeta_t^N)^2]\le&NC_3\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\{\mathbb{E}[|\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(t_k^N,X_{t_k^N})|^2[(\alpha^\mu)^4(t_{k+1}^N-t_k^N)^4+(\eta^{\mu ij})^4(\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_{k+1}^N}-\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_k^N})^4\\ &+2(\alpha^\nu\beta^{\nu j})^2(t_{k+1}^N-t_k^N)^2(B^j_{t_{k+1}^N}-B^j_{t_k^N})^2\\ &+2(\eta^{\nu ij}\beta^{\nu j})^2(\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_{k+1}^N}-\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_k^N})^2(B^j_{t_{k+1}^N}-B^j_{t_k^N})^2\}\\ \le&NC_4\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\{\mathbb{E}[(\alpha^\mu)^4(t_{k+1}^N-t_k^N)^4+(\eta^{\mu ij})^4\mathbb{E}_{t_k^N}[(\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_{k+1}^N}-\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_k^N})^4]\\ &+2(\alpha^\nu\beta^{\nu j})^2(t_{k+1}^N-t_k^N)^2\mathbb{E}_{t_k^N}[(B^j_{t_{k+1}^N}-B^j_{t_k^N})^2]\\ &+2(\eta^{\nu ij}\beta^{\nu j})^2\mathbb{E}_{t_k^N}[(\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_{k+1}^N}-\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_{t_k^N})^2(B^j_{t_{k+1}^N}-B^j_{t_k^N})^2]]\}\\ \le&NC_5\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\{\mathbb{E}[(\alpha^\mu)^4+|\eta^{\mu ij}|^4)\delta_N^4+2((\alpha^\nu\beta^{\nu j})^2+|\eta^{\nu ij}\beta^{\nu j}|^2)\delta_N^3]\}\\ =&C_5(N\delta_N)^2\mathbb{E}[(\alpha^\nu)^4+|\eta^{\nu ij}|^4)\delta_N^2+2((\alpha^\nu\beta^{\nu j})^2+|\eta^{\nu ij}\beta^{\nu j}|^2)\delta_N]\}\\ \to&0,\ \text{in } \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t).\end{aligned}$$

We then have proved (4.8).

LEMMA 4.2. *Let* $\varphi\in C^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ *such that* $\partial_t\varphi,\partial_{x^\mu}\varphi,\partial^2_{xx}\varphi\in C_{b,L_{ip}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*. Let* $X=(X^1,\dots,X^n)$ *and*

$$X_t=X_s+\int_s^t\alpha_u^\nu du+\int_s^t\eta_u^{\nu ij}d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u+\int_s^t\beta_u^{\nu j}dB_u^j,$$

where $\alpha^\nu,\eta^{\nu ij}\in\mathbb{M}^4(0,T)$ *and* $\beta^{\nu j}\in\mathbb{M}^8(0,T)$*. Then for each* $t\ge s$*, we have, in* $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t)$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t,X_t)-\varphi(s,X_s)=&\int_0^t[\partial_t\varphi(u,X_u)+\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\alpha_u^\nu]du+\int_0^t\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\beta_u^{\nu j}dB_u^j\\ &+\int_0^t[\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\eta_u^{\nu ij}+\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\beta_u^{\nu i}\beta_u^{\nu j}]d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u.\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

Proof. For $\alpha^\nu,\eta^{\nu ij}\in\mathbb{M}^4(0,T)$ and $\beta^{\nu j}\in\mathbb{M}^8(0,T)$, there exist sequences of simple processes $\alpha^{m,\nu}\xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^4}\alpha^\nu,\eta^{m,\nu ij}\xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^4}\eta^{\nu ij},\beta^{m,\nu j}\xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^8}\beta^{\nu j}$ as $m\to\infty$. Let

$$X_t^m=X_s+\int_s^t\alpha_u^{m,\nu}du+\int_s^t\eta_u^{m,\nu ij}d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u+\int_s^t\beta_u^{m,\nu j}dB_u^j,m=1,2,\dots.$$

From lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t,X_t^m)-\varphi(s,X_s^m)=&\int_0^t[\partial_t\varphi(u,X_u^m)+\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u^m)\alpha_u^{m,\nu}]du+\int_0^t\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u^m)\beta_u^{m,\nu j}dB_u^j\\&+\int_0^t[\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u^m)\eta_u^{m,\nu ij}+\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u^m)\beta_u^{m,\mu i}\beta_u^{m,\nu j}d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u.\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

For any $s\le t\le T$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{s\le t\le T}|X_t-X_t^m|^4]\le C\mathbb{E}\int_s^T[(\alpha_u^{m,\nu ij}-\alpha_u^{\nu ij})^4+|\eta_u^{m,\nu ij}-\eta_u^{\nu j}|^4+|\beta_u^{m,\nu j}-\beta_u^{\nu ij}|^4]du\to 0,$$

since $\alpha^{m,\nu}\xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^4}\alpha^\nu,\eta^{m,\nu ij}\xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^4}\eta^{\nu ij},\beta^{m,\nu j}\xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^8}\beta^{\nu j}$. So

$$\mathbb{E}\int_s^T|X_t-X_t^m|^4dt\le C\int_s^T\mathbb{E}[\sup_{s\le t\le T}|X_t-X_t^m|^4]dt\to 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T|\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u^m)\alpha_u^{m,\nu}-\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\alpha_u^\nu|^2du]\\\le&2\{\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T(\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u^m)-\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u))^2|\alpha_u^{m,\nu}|^2du]+\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T(\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u))^2|\alpha_u^{m,\nu}-\alpha_u|^2du]\}\}\\\le&2C\{\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T|X_u^m-X_u|^2|\alpha_u^{m,\nu}|^2du+\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T|\alpha_u^{m,\nu}-\alpha_u^\nu|^2du]\}\\\le&2C\{\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T|X_u^m-X_u|^4du]\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T|\alpha_u^{m,\nu}|^4du]\}^{\frac{1}{2}}+C\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T|\alpha_u^{m,\nu}-\alpha_u^\nu|^2du]\\\to&0,\end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant. Then we have proved $\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(\cdot,X_\cdot^m)\alpha_\cdot^{m,\nu}\to\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(\cdot,X_\cdot)\alpha_\cdot^\nu$ in $\mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$. Similarly, we can prove that in $\mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_\cdot\varphi(\cdot,X_\cdot^m)\to\partial_\cdot\varphi(\cdot,X_\cdot),\\&\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(\cdot,X_\cdot^m)\eta_\cdot^{m,\nu ij}\to\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(\cdot,X_\cdot)\eta_\cdot^{\nu ij},\\&\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(\cdot,X_\cdot^m)\beta_\cdot^{m,\nu j}\to\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(\cdot,X_\cdot)\beta_\cdot^{\nu j},\\&\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(\cdot,X_\cdot^m)\beta_\cdot^{m,\mu i}\beta_\cdot^{m,\nu j}\to\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(\cdot,X_\cdot)\beta_\cdot^{\mu i}\beta_\cdot^{\nu,j}.\end{aligned}$$

We then pass to limit as $m\to\infty$ in both sides of (4.9) to get (4.8).

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $\varphi\in C^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi,\partial_t\varphi,\partial_{x^\mu}\varphi,\partial^2_{xx}\varphi$ are bounded and uniformly continuous on $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $X=(X^1,\dots,X^n)$ and*

$$X_t=X_s+\int_s^t\alpha_u^\nu du+\int_s^t\eta_u^{\nu ij}d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u+\int_s^t\beta_u^{\nu j}dB_u^j,$$

where $\alpha^\nu, \eta^{\nu ij} \in \mathbb{M}^4(0,T)$ *and* $\beta^{\nu j} \in \mathbb{M}^8(0,T)$. *Then for each* $t \geq s$, *we have, in* $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t,X_t) - \varphi(s,X_s) = &\int_0^t [\partial_t \varphi(u,X_u) + \partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\alpha_u^{\nu ij}]du + \int_0^t \partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\beta_u^{\nu j} dB_u^j \\ &+ \int_0^t [\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\eta_u^{\nu ij} + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\beta_u^{\mu i}\beta_u^{\nu j}]d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u.\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. We take $\{\varphi_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ such that, for each m, φ_m and all its first order and second order derivatives are in $C_{b,L_{ip}}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ and such that, as $m\to\infty$, $\varphi_m, \partial_t\varphi_m, \partial_{x^\nu}\varphi_m$, and $\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi_m$ converge respectively to $\varphi, \partial_t\varphi, \partial_{x^\nu}\varphi$, and $\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi$ uniformly on $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$. We then use the Itô's formula (4.8) to $\varphi_m(t,X_t)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_m(t,X_t) - \varphi_m(s,X_s) = &\int_0^t [\partial_t \varphi_m(u,X_u) + \partial^{x^\nu}\varphi_m(u,X_u)\alpha_u^{\nu ij}]du + \int_0^t \partial_{x^\nu}\varphi_m(u,X_u)\beta_u^{\nu j} dB_u^j \\ &+ \int_0^t [\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi_m(u,X_u)\eta_u^{\nu ij} + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi_m(u,X_u)\beta_u^{\mu i}\beta_u^{\nu j}d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u.\end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $m\to\infty$, we get (4.10).

THEOREM 4.4. *Let* $\varphi \in C^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $X = (X^1,\ldots,X^n)$ *with*

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \alpha_u^\nu du + \int_0^t \eta_u^{\nu ij} d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u + \int_0^t \beta_u^{\nu j} dB_u^j,$$

where $\alpha^\nu, \eta^{\nu ij} \in \mathbb{M}^4(0,T)$ *and* $\beta^{\nu j} \in \mathbb{M}^8(0,T)$. *Then for each* $t \geq s$, *we have, in* $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega_t)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t,X_t) - \varphi(s,X_s) = &\int_0^t [\partial_t \varphi(u,X_u) + \partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\alpha_u^{\nu ij}]du + \int_0^t \partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\beta_u^{\nu j} dB_u^j \\ &+ \int_0^t [\partial_{x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\eta_u^{\nu ij} + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi(u,X_u)\beta_u^{\mu i}\beta_u^{\nu j}]d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u.\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. For simplicity, we only prove the case of $n = d = 1$. We set

$$\gamma_t = |X_t| + \int_0^t (|\beta_u|^8 + |\beta_u|^4 + |\beta_u|^4)du,$$

and for $k = 1,2,\ldots$, $\tau_k = \inf\{t \geq 0; \gamma_t \geq k\}$. Let $\varphi_k \in C^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that $\partial_t\varphi_k, \partial_{x^\nu}\varphi_k, \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}\varphi_k$ are bounded and uniformly continuous and $\varphi_k = \varphi$, for $|x| \leq 2k$ and $t \in [0,T]$. By lemma 3.10, $\mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_k]}\alpha, \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_k]}\eta, \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_k]}\beta \in \mathbb{M}^2(0,T)$, so we have

$$X_{t\wedge\tau_k} = X_0 + \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_k]}\alpha_u du + \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_k]}\eta_u d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u + \int_0^t \mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_k]}\beta_u dB_u^j,$$

Then we can apply the Itô's formula (4.10) to $\varphi_k(t,X_{t\wedge\tau_k})$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_k(t,X_{t\wedge\tau_k}) - \varphi_k(s,X_s) = &\int_0^t [\partial_t\varphi_k(u,X_u) + \partial_x\varphi_k(u,X_u)\alpha_u]\mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_k]}du \\ &+ \int_0^t \partial_x\varphi_k(u,X_u)\beta_u\mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_k]}dB_u^j \\ &+ \int_0^t [\partial_x\varphi_k(u,X_u)\eta_u + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{xx}\varphi_k(u,X_u)\beta_u^2]\mathbf{I}_{[0,\tau_k]}d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_u.\end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as $k \to \infty$, we get (4.11).

References

[1] Denis L., Hu M., and Peng S. 2011. Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to G-Brownian motion pathes. *Potential Anal.* 34(2):139-161.

[2] Gao F. 2009. Pathwise properties and homeomorphic flows for stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 119(10):3356-3382.

[3] Huber P., and Strassen, V. 1973. Minimax tests and the Neyman-Pearson lemma for capacity. *Ann. Stat.* 1(2):252-263.

[4] Li X., and Peng S. 2011. Stopping times and related Itô's calculus with G-Brownian motion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 121:1492-1508.

[5] Peng S. G-expectation, G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô's type. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, The Abel Symposium 2005, Abel Symposia, Edit. Benth et al., 5417, Springer-Verlag

[6] Peng S. 2008. Multi-dimensional G-Brownian Motion and related stochastic calculus under G-expectation. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 118(12):2223-2253.

[7] Peng S. 2010. Nonlinear Expectations and Stochastic Calculus under Uncertainty, arXiv:1002.4546.

[8] Zhang B., Xu J., and Kannan, D. 2010. Extension and application of Itô's formula under G-framework, *Stochastic Anal. Appl.* 28(2):322-349.